



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

May v. Holdrige, 23 Wis. 93; *Breevort v. Detroit*, 24 Mich. 322. For general subject see *State v. Newark*, 34 N. J. 236; *City of Chester v. Black*, 6 L. R. A. 802 (Notes.)

WILLS—EQUITABLE CONVERSION—POWER OF EXECUTOR AFTER DISCHARGE.—Testator left real estate and directed the executor to dispose of it and divide the proceeds among devisees when they should reach the age of twenty-five years. The land was not sold by the executor, however, until after he had been discharged. In an action by a devisee against the executor and his vendee, to quiet title, *Held*, that the provisions of the will operated to convert the land into personalty, and not to convey the title to the executor in trust and that the discharge before he made the transfer terminated his power and no title passed. *Boland v. Tiernay* (1902), — Iowa—, 91 N. W. Rep. 836.

WILLS—UNDUE INFLUENCE—BURDEN OF PROOF.—Testatrix preferred certain of her children in her will and it was contended that she was influenced by one of them. The instruction of the lower court placed the burden of showing undue influence on the contestant. *Held*, proper. *Crossan v. Crossan* (1902), — Mo. —, 70 S. W. Rep. 136.

Testatrix devised her property to her husband. Undue influence was set up and the lower court ruled that the burden of proof was upon the complainant and upon appeal, *Held*, the ruling was proper. *Swearinger v. Inman* (1902), — Ill. —, 65 N. E. Rep. 80.

The courts in above cases assume that the law is settled that the burden of proof rests with him who is contesting the will, in the first case saying "The instructions on that subject were but a repetition of those often approved by this court and no good purpose would be subserved by their needless repetition in this opinion." This is probably the weight of authority. *McTerue v. Barnes*, 108 Mass. 344; *Tyle v. Gardner*, 35 N. Y. 559 (594); *Potter's App.*, 53 Mich. 106, 18 N. W. Rep. 575, but this doctrine has been sharply criticised by some of our ablest text writers. PAGE ON WILLS, p. 481. The right to make a will depending on statute and it being necessary that the testator should be of full age, sound mind and memory, and not under restraint, it might be urged that the party offering a will must establish every fact necessary to bring the case under the wills act; or that the contestant does not confess and avoid in setting up undue influence, but traverses and it is for the proponent who offered the instrument for probate to show it to be the true will of the alleged testator. JARMAN ON WILLS (Bigelow Ed.), p. 68.